

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/670,829 | FAN ET AL. | |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ishwar (I. B.) Patel | 2841 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Being allowed

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) _____.

(2) Harden E. Stevens, III (Reg. 55,649).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicants representative and asked to amend claims 1 and 13 by adding subject matter of claim 6- 7 and 16-17 respectively to place the application in condition of allowance. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend the application by examiner's amendment .

A handwritten signature consisting of a stylized 'I' and 'B' followed by 'Pasek'.